

Enforcement of Jersey Security Agreements

As the credit crunch continues to impact on the global economy and banking covenants come under greater pressure, lenders are increasingly examining the detail of their security packages in order to identify the various enforcement options open to them. Where the security package includes a Jersey law security interest agreement in respect of Jersey situs assets, it is necessary to take into account the provisions of the Security Interests (Jersey) Law 1983 (the "1983 Law"), especially as the enforcement provisions may differ to those applicable under English law security documents.

When are Jersey security interest agreements used?

Generally, security over Jersey situs assets, such as shares of a Jersey company, units of a Jersey unit trust (such as a JPUT), bank accounts in Jersey or a portfolio of assets held by a Jersey intermediary, will be created pursuant to the 1983 Law. Jersey law does not recognise the concept of the floating charge, so it is important to create specific security over material Jersey situs assets, rather than rely on general charging clauses. (This does not restrict the power of Jersey entities to give foreign law floating charges over non-Jersey situs assets).

How is a Jersey security interest created?

A Jersey security interest over shares or other securities is created by either:-

- (a) the secured party or its trustee or agent having possession of the certificates of title; or
- (b) title to the securities being assigned to the secured party or its trustee or agent (together with the giving of written notice to the issuer of the securities).

A Jersey security interest over a bank account is created:

- (a) where the secured party is also the account bank, by the secured party having control of the account; or
- (b) otherwise, by title to the account being assigned to the secured party or its trustee or agent (together with the giving of written notice to the account bank).

A Jersey security interest over rights in a custody account or a custodian agreement is created by title to such rights being assigned to the secured party or its trustee or agent (together with the giving of written notice to the counterparty).

In each of the above cases, the security interest must be created pursuant to a security agreement which must set out certain details such as events of default, secured obligations and collateral.

The 1983 Law is silent as to the creation of third party security, so it is market practice for the collateral provider to enter into a guarantee or covenant to pay to create a primary obligation in favour of the secured party.

There are no perfection steps (such as public registration of security) to be completed in Jersey under the 1983 Law other than the giving of written notice as described above.

What are the rights of a secured party on enforcement?

Upon the occurrence of an event of default (as specified in the security agreement), the power of sale arises. However, such power of sale is not exercisable unless the secured party has served written notice on the debtor specifying the event of default complained of. If the particular event of default is "capable of remedy", the notice must require the debtor to remedy it, and the power of sale is exercisable only if the event of default is not remedied within 14 days of receipt of the notice.

Under the 1983 Law, an order of the Royal Court is required before the power of sale is exercisable (save where the collateral is money, negotiable instruments or money in a bank account). However, this requirement can be, and invariably is, waived under the provisions of the security agreement.

It is notable that the 1983 Law does not give the secured party a power of appropriation or foreclosure (save where the collateral is derived from money, negotiable instruments or money in a bank account). In those circumstances, the secured party therefore needs to sell the collateral to a separate legal entity, although there is no restriction on this entity being connected to the secured party.

The secured party is under a duty to take all reasonable steps to ensure that the sale is made within a reasonable time and for a price corresponding to open market value at the time of sale. The 1983 Law is silent as to the manner of the sale; this could include private sale or auction. The power of sale applies to collateral held as possessory security as well as security by way of assignment of title.

Enforcement of Jersey Security Agreements

Upon the sale (or appropriation in the case of collateral derived from money, negotiable instruments or money in a bank account), the proceeds are applied as follows:-

- (a) costs and expenses of the sale;
- (b) discharge of any prior security interest;
- (c) discharge of the secured obligations of the secured party exercising the power of sale;
- (d) discharge of subsequent ranking security interests; and
- (e) return of the balance to the debtor or the appropriate insolvency official.

What is the effect of the debtor's insolvency on a Jersey security interest?

Désastre is the usual Jersey insolvency procedure which can be commenced by creditors. On a declaration of désastre, the Viscount, a court official, takes title and possession of the debtor's property. The 1983 Law does not prevent this, but the declaration of désastre does not affect the power of a secured party to realize or otherwise deal with the collateral. The Viscount has the power to apply to the Royal Court for an order for the rights of the secured party in the collateral to be vested in him. This is only likely to be exercised if the secured party is dilatory in enforcing its security, to the extent that this has a detrimental effect on the administration of the désastre.

A Jersey company which is insolvent may be wound up voluntarily under the Companies (Jersey) Law 1991, pursuant to a creditors' winding up. The directors of an insolvent Jersey company will often take this option to avoid potential personal liability for wrongful trading. As with désastre, the commencement of a creditors' winding up does not affect the power of a secured party to realize or otherwise deal with the collateral.

Jersey law also recognises the concept of an irrevocable power of attorney given for the purpose of facilitating the exercise of the powers of a secured party under the 1983 Law or under the provisions of a security agreement. The Powers of Attorney (Jersey) Law 1995 provides that, in such circumstances, an irrevocable power of attorney (a) survives the bankruptcy of the donor, (b) has effect notwithstanding any law which, on bankruptcy, vests property of the donor in any other person (such as the Viscount on a désastre), and (c) allows the secured party to act as if that other person had given such power of attorney. It is usual practice for Jersey law security agreements to contain an irrevocable power of attorney.

**Author: Matthew Swan, Partner
Business & Trust Law Group
+44 (0) 1534 504238
matthew.swan@ogier.com**

About Ogier

Ogier is an award winning world leader in the provision of offshore legal and fiduciary services. Our integrated legal and fiduciary approach has proved a winning combination which enables us to secure awards for the quality of our services and our people.

The Group employs over 850 people and provides advice on all aspects of BVI, Cayman, Guernsey and Jersey law and fiduciary services through our international spread of offices that cover all time zones and key financial markets. Our network includes Bahrain, BVI, Cayman, Guernsey, Hong Kong, Ireland, Jersey, London, Shanghai and Tokyo.

Enforcement of Jersey Security Agreements

Contact details

Jersey

Chris Byrne
+44 (0) 1534 504264
chris.byrne@ogier.com

Matthew Swan
+44 (0) 1534 504238
matthew.swan@ogier.com

Nicholas Ward
+44 (0) 1534 504244
nicholas.ward@ogier.com

Marc Yates
+44 (0) 1534 504220
marc.yates@ogier.com

Hong Kong

Nathan Powell
+852 3656 6054
nathan.powell@ogier.com

This client briefing has been prepared for clients and professional associates of the firm. The information and expressions of opinion which it contains are not intended to be a comprehensive study or to provide legal advice and should not be treated as a substitute for specific advice concerning individual situations.

Ogier includes separate partnerships which advise on BVI, Cayman, Guernsey and Jersey law. For a full list of partners please visit our website.

Please check with the relevant contact listed above for specific details regarding the legal services we offer from each office as we do not always practice the law of the jurisdiction where our offices are located. Please note that the named contact may not be qualified to advise on all the laws practiced from that office.