Ogier

Advantages of the Security Interests (Jersey) Law 2012

Insights - 05/01/2021

The Security Interests (Jersey) Law 1983 (the **1983 Law**) governing security over intangible movable property situated in Jersey (eg shares, units, accounts and contractual rights) generally worked well, but had become increasingly outdated in the context of modern banking and finance transactions. The 1983 Law has now been superseded by the Security Interests (Jersey) Law 2012 (the **SIJL**), which came into full force and effect on 2 January 2014, following a lengthy consultation and drafting process.

Jersey entities (eg companies, trusts and limited partnerships) are often established as holding bodies for corporate or real estate structures, investment funds and special purpose vehicles. When lending to structures including Jersey entities, secured parties usually take Jersey law security over the shares/securities and other Jersey situs intangible assets of the Jersey entities, which will be governed by the 1983 Law or the SIJL depending on when the security was created.

Under the transitional provisions of the SIJL, any Jersey law security agreements entered into before 2 January 2014 will generally continue to be governed by the 1983 Law (as long as new collateral is not added to the security agreement and the secured obligations are not substantially increased or fundamentally changed). This ensures grandfathering of 1983 Law security interests. Therefore enforcement of any pre-2014 Jersey law security agreements will continue to be governed by the 1983 Law and there is no requirement for any pre-2014 security interests to be perfected by registration on the Jersey Security Interests Register (which only applies to SIJL security interests).

On the other hand, any Jersey law security agreements entered into from 2 January 2014 onwards will be governed by the SIJL. The following table compares/contrasts the 1983 Law to the SIJL and summarises the main changes between them. As will be clear from the following table, there are a number of advantages to lenders in taking SIJL security (even where there is already 1983 Law security in place), particularly in relation to registration and enforcement.

| Scope of Collateral | Investment securities (including shares, units, debentures and warrants) Units Bank accounts Securities accounts Contractual rights Receivables All present and future intangible movable property from time to time (similar to a floating charge) |
|---------------------|---|
|---------------------|---|

Creation/ Attachment/ Perfection Security interest agreement complying with the 1983 Law, as well as: (a) possession of certificates of title to collateral (b) assignment of title to collateral and giving of notice or (c) control of bank accounts

No concepts of attachment or

Security interest
agreement complying
with the SIJL, as well as:
(a) attachment by (1)
control of collateral or
(2)
description/identification
of collateral
(b) perfection by (1)
control (according to the
statutory definition) of
collateral and/or (2)
public registration on the
Jersey Security Interests
Register

Attachment makes security enforceable against the grantor, whereas perfection

makes security perfection enforceable against third (only parties/during insolvency creation). and ensures priority. Third party security (ie security granted in support of the obligations of a third party) is not expressly contemplated under the 1983 Law. This issue Third Party The SIJL expressly permits was usually Security third party security. dealt with by including a limited recourse guarantee or covenant to pay in the security interest agreement. The 1983 Law did not The SIJL expressly expressly provides that security is provide for the not affected by the grantor having grantor having rights to Rights to Deal

Registration of security

rights to deal

collateral (eg

with the

secured

accounts).

deal with the collateral,

substitute equivalent

collateral or withdraw

excess collateral.

| Registration | No public registration of security. | on an online register open to public searches. Public registration of SIJL security at or just before completion has become the usual method for perfecting security. |
|--------------|---|--|
| Enforcement | Power of sale was the only enforcement remedy, other than appropriation of monies in a bank account (each requiring 14 days' notice before enforcement of security where the event of default was | Wider enforcement remedies, including: (a) power of appropriation (b) power of sale (c) ancillary actions, such as taking possession or control of collateral or exercising contractual rights No 14 day notice period before enforcement of security (assuming this is contracted out of) |

About Ogier

Ogier is a professional services firm with the knowledge and expertise to handle the most demanding and complex transactions and provide expert, efficient and cost-effective services to all our clients. We regularly win awards for the quality of our client service, our work and our people.

capable of remedy).

Disclaimer

This client briefing has been prepared for clients and professional associates of Ogier. The information and expressions of opinion which it contains are not intended to be a comprehensive study or to provide legal advice and should not be treated as a substitute for specific advice concerning individual situations.

contracted out of).

Regulatory information can be found under <u>Legal Notice</u>

Meet the Author



Katrina Edge

Partner

<u>Jersey</u>

E: <u>katrina.edge@ogier.com</u>

T: <u>+44 1534 514192</u>

Key Contacts



Bruce MacNeil

Partner

<u>Jersey</u>

E: <u>bruce.macneil@ogier.com</u>

T: <u>+44 1534 514394</u>



Kate McCaffrey

Partner

<u>Jersey</u>

E: <u>kate.mccaffrey@ogier.com</u>

T: <u>+44 1534 514355</u>

Related Services

Structured Finance

Banking and Finance

Leveraged Finance

Asset Finance

<u>Debt Capital Markets - Ogier Global</u>

Ogier Global

Corporate and Fiduciary

<u>Legal</u>